

MINUTES-Approved 9/30/2010
DIXON PUBLIC LIBRARY DISTRICT
NEW LIBRARY BUILDING COMMITTEE
7:00 P.M., THURSDAY, JULY 29, 2010

MEETING LOCATION
DSUD BOARD MEETING ROOM
180 S. FIRST ST., STE 3, DIXON, CA 95620

1. Call to order / Jim Ernst, President

Jim Ernst, President called the meeting to order at 7:02 p.m.

2. Roll call

Trustees

Jim Ernst, President
John Gabby- excused
Herb Cross, Secretary
Gildardo Piñon
Irina Okremtchouk

Commission

Greta Galindo, President
Susan Ostergard, Vice-President
Shane Taber, Secretary
Marjorie Rothrock
Olivia Stringer

City of Dixon

Jack Batchelor, Mayor -excused
Nancy Huston, City Manager - excused

Staff

Gregg Atkins, District Librarian
Sandy Myers, Recorder

3. Communications

None

4. Approval of agenda

Herb Cross moved, Greta Galindo seconded and the motion carried.

5. Public presentations to or discussion with the Committee

None

6. Approval of minutes

A. Regular meeting of May 27, 2010

Herb Cross moved, Marjorie Rothrock, seconded and the motion carried.

7. Discussion items

A. Building program document—review of latest version/Linda Demmers

Linda Demmers reviewed the latest versions of the planning documents which identify the size and space needs of the new library. Library staff, Building Committee members and public have all been able to provide input over the course of the plan's development.

B. New Library building Project budget expenditures forecast/Gregg Atkins

Mr. Atkins, District Librarian reviewed the projected expenditures for the NLB project. Up until April of 2010, costs of the project were funded by development impact fees that were collected over the years. After April 2010, funding came from the Building Fund reserves that were set aside for such a project. The District also has \$135,000

in unallocated reserves if additional expenses occur beyond what is in the Building Fund reserves. When a bond measure passes, some monies spent from reserves can be recouped.

C. Status report on community input and participation process/Gladys Cornell

Gladys Cornell, Aim Consulting reviewed the process of putting together a stakeholders group. Fifty organizations were identified with 10 organizations participating in the first meeting. The second meeting was attended by representatives from 15 organizations. With the help of the public and organizations additional groups were contacted to participate. The role of the stakeholders is to provide communication to their constituents. In the first meeting the stakeholders group was asked what it would like to create, avoid, and preserve. Some of the answers that came from that meeting included adequate space, technology, meeting rooms, and historical space; some things to avoid were outgrowth, constraints in space use, and inability to keep up with technology. Participants were invited to submit additional comment cards to Gladys, they were then compiled and incorporated into the record. Ginger Emerson noted that the Neighborhood group was not involved in the first meeting and their goal is to not have the new library create a negative impact.

The stakeholders were given a homework assignment to visit other libraries and note what was liked and disliked in what they saw. In the third meeting building options will be discussed.

D. Status report on CEQA (California Environmental Quality Act) study / Gregg Atkins

Mr. Atkins, reported on the timeline for the CEQA report. It will be completed in January or February. For the next 30 days anyone can submit a comment to the lead agency (as required by law) regarding the report. The lead agency is the Library District with the comments being sent to Mr. Atkins' attention. All submittals will be recorded and at the end of the 30 days the originals will be sent to LSA for review and to be included in the study. All comments must be submitted by August 23, 2010. The CEQA Initial Study is posted on the library's website.

E. Update on District boundaries issue / Addison Covert

Addison Covert, Attorney reported on the status of the confused boundary lines. It was always assumed that the Library District boundaries and the School District boundaries were the same. When notifying the County Registrar's office of the Library District's potential bond measure, it was discovered that the boundaries are slightly different. The area near Hawkins eastern side and I-80 did not align. Mr. Covert is proceeding on the District's behalf to identify options to rectify the situation. The error occurred in the exhibits that were attached to the original documents establishing the boundaries. Further complicating the issue is that the last time there was a vote in Dixon was in 1910 and that map has disappeared. The boundaries appear to have been this way for 40 years. Mr. Covert will continue to proceed with establishing clear boundaries and report back to the committee.

F. Review of Site Analysis and Site Options / Group 4

Wayne Gehrke, Architect Group 4 introduced his staff that will work on the project for those who were not present as previous meetings. Mr. Gehrke discussed options for the new library building; there were four main categories to be discussed:

- 1. Carnegie appearance: the entire building or just the facade and side walls; and, one story (main floor with no basement) versus two story (main floor with basement)*
- 2. Location: in the same area or moved to the corner of B and First (facing First St.)*
- 3. Height: current height of main floor (4' 8") or lowering the height by at least 24"*
- 4. Parking: Option B1 (between building and C St. residences) or Option B2 (parallel to 2nd St)*

Members of the audience voiced their views on the Carnegie, with some insisting that it must be saved in its entirety, and some wanting some portions of it incorporated into the new building.

G. Nomination of Carnegie Library Building to National Register of Historic-Update/ Gregg Atkins

Mr. Atkins informed the Committee of the application that was submitted to the California Office of Historical Preservation to nominate the Carnegie to be placed on the National Register. Mr. Atkins spoke with Joe Corea in the OHP

and was informed that the application will be studied and will go to the Commission in November or later in Spring 2011. The Office will not be visiting the site for validation; it is only interested in the look of the building from the outside (which is documented in the application) and is not concerned with the interior.

8. Committee comments

None

9. Announcements

None

10. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 8:02 p.m.



James Ernst, President



Herb Cross, Secretary